

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	Ю.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/037,306 12/31/2001		12/31/2001	Simon M. Furnish	InFraReDx-12	4514
26161	7590	02/22/2005		EXAMINER	
FISH & RICHARDSON PC 225 FRANKLIN ST			JUNG, WILLIAM C		
BOSTON, MA 02110				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,			3737	
				DATE MAILED: 02/22/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action	10/037,306	FURNISH, SIM
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/037,306	FURNISH, SIMON M.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
William Jung	3737	

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief		,	·					
Before the Filling of all Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit						
	William Jung	3737						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED <u>25 January 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A								
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, application must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continue Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:								
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A	he period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. he period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In							
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL								
2. The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing an appeal brief. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).  AMENDMENTS								
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,			ecause					
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	w);							
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in being appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for					
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		empliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).,					
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the							
non-allowable claim(s).  7. ☐ For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) ☐ will not be entered, or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.  The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:								
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: <u>9-12,26-29 and 38</u> . Claim(s) rejected: 1-8,13-25,30-37 and 39-48.								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
	3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary ar							
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).								
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.  REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER								
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:  Examiner respectfully disagrees with the Applicant regarding claims 120, 33, 39, and 41. As the applicant conceded that								
Winston discloses the existence of parts of the claimed invention, it is Examiner's position that Winston discloses the cathet with alternate embodiement illustrating alternate strucuture may not be the best mode of Winston's invention, however, the anticipatory stil exists since all features of the claims were considered by Winston.								
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s)13. Other:								
WC3								

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 9-04)

ئا : س<u>غ</u>

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No.

10037386

BRIAN L. CASLER
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700